

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/845,904	MOREL, NOEL
	Examiner Geoffrey L. Knable	Art Unit 1733

All Participants:

(1) Geoffrey L. Knable.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Alan Kopecki.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, ~~since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~ *OK*

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, ~~since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.~~


Geoffrey L. Knable
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant's representative that the March 24, 2004 amendment placed this application into condition for allowance and that notice to this effect would be sent shortly. Applicant's representative was also informed that the obvious typographical error in claim 1, line 6 (i.e. "lest" to "least") would be corrected by examiner's amendment.